## Present status of the investigation on the spectra of moderately charged thulium ions

#### A. Meftah

Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie Quantique (LCPQ), University Mouloud Mammeri in Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria.

Laboratoire d'Etude du Rayonnement et de la Matière en Astrophysique et Atmosphère (LERMA), Observatoire de Paris-Meudon - PSL Research University; Sorbonne Université, CNRS

Tribute to Jean-François Wyart

## Plan

- Introduction
- Experimental method
- Analysis and Results
- Conclusion

#### Lanthanide properties



- ▶  $Z = 57 \longrightarrow 71$  with open f-shell configurations.
- ▶ 4f, 5d, 6s and 6p have similar binding energies:
- Overlapping configurations
- High level densities, even at a few eV

# IntroductionLanthanides complexities:

 $4f^{7}(Gd^{3+}): 327 \ levels ; 4f^{7}5d \ (Tb^{3+}): 3106 \ levels$   $4f^{7}-4f^{6}5d \ (Gd^{3+}) ; 4f^{8}-4f^{7}5d \ (Tb^{3+})$   $277827 \ transitions$ 



# There are very little experimental data for the lanthanide ions



- Ab initio atomic structure codes (GRASP, FAC,...)
- **Experimental levels** are very important to confirm the validity of these codes and accompany their future developments
- > In the semi-empirical approach:
- The values of the experimental energies allow to improve very significantly the calculated values by adjusting them with experimental value.



- Ianthanide ions are of interest for:
- Luminescence of materials doped with lanthanide ions.
- Spectra of chemically peculiar stellar atmospheres
- Study of kilonovae (neutron-star mergers)

## **Experimental method**

#### Meudon VUV spectrograph



- Holographique Concave grating: 3600 lines/mm
- > Focal length: **10.685 m**
- >  $\lambda$  of maximum efficiency: **120 nm**
- Resolving power:
  **1.5 10<sup>5</sup>** (8 mA, slit 30 μm)
  - Spectral range: **30-300 nm**

## **Experimental method**

#### A section of thulium sliding spark spectrum in wavelength range 810-860 Å

(Intensity profile from image plate)



Int. (uni. arb.)

> The lines of impurities inside the spectrum are used for calibration in wavelength

## **Analysis and Results**

#### **Principle of Analysis**



## **Analysis and Results**

Parametric calculations: Cowan code (RCN/RCG/RCE

- Ab initio calculations (Hartree-Fock +relativistic corrections: HFR) of the set of electronic configurations.
- Atomic Hamiltonian: H=radial part x angular part (Racah algebra).
- Least square fitting of the calculated energies by adjusting radial parameters

## Results: Tm<sup>+</sup>



 $\sigma_E(\text{cm}^{-1})$ 

56

85

# Results: Landé $g_J$ factors calculation in $Tm^{+1}$ comparison with measurements



## **Results: Experimental and predicted levels of Tm<sup>+1</sup>**.

LSQ fit with known levels improve the prediction of unknown levels



## Results: Extended analyse of Tm<sup>3+</sup>



## Results: GRASP calculations in Tm<sup>3+</sup>

► Comparison of experimental energies (cm<sup>-1</sup>) and values calculated by GRASP

Config.	Term	J	Exp.	Ajust.	Grasp (in%)	-	
$4f^{12}$	$^{3}H$	6	0.00	-27	0	-	
	$^{3}F$	4	5634.02	5656	6571/-16.17		
	$^{3}H$	5	8216.73	8192	7315/10.97		
	$^{3}H$	4	12547.23	12567	11852/5.54		Good agreement between the
	$^{3}F$	3	14410.41	14463	14743/-2.3		calculations with GRASP and
$4f^{11}5d$	${}^{5}G$	6	72011.02	72044	72145/-0.18		culculations with ORASI and
	$^{5}H$	7	72931.67	72984	73163/-0.30		the experimental values.
	$^{3}L$	9	74506.41	74526	74735/-0.30		
	<sup>5</sup> I	8	75585.02	75541	75924/-0.44	•	The experimental values are
	${}^{5}G$	5	78413.63	78416	78286/0.16		reproduced to few %
	$^{5}K$	9	78677.88	78697	78665/0.01		reproduced to jew 70
	$^{5}H$	6	79225.87	79212	79203/0.02		
	$^{5}I$	8	80122.71	80116	80149/-0.03		
	<sup>5</sup> I	7	80264.65	80226	80459/-0.24	•	But not as clos as the fitted
	$^{5}L$	8	82258.89	82261	82191/0.08		1
	$^{3}G$	5	83293.13	83289	83613/0.38		values
	$^{3}I$	7	83530.02	83502	83709/-0.21		
	${}^{5}G$	4	83548.79	83549	83524/0.02		
	$^{3}H$	6	84485.81	84438	84867/-0.45		
	$^{3}G$	5	85541.93	85500	85641/-0.11		
	$^{5}L$	9	85504.65	85524	84876/0.73		
	$^{5}L$	7	86145.56	86115	86157/-0.01		
$4f^{11}6s$	<sup>5</sup> I	8	98972.81	98968	100975/-2.02		
	$^{3}I$	7	100145.05	100138	102331/-2.18		
	<sup>5</sup> I	7	106895.45	106999	108792/-1.77		
	$^{5}I$	6	107603.36	107541	109124/-1.41		
$4f^{11}6p$	$^{5}H$	7	144991.40	145008	147541/-1.75		
	$^{3}K$	8	145564.25	145549	148102/-1.74		
	$^{5}H$	6	152729.67	152740	155045/-1.51		
	$^{5}K$	7	153028.54	153032	155283/-1.47		
	5k	9	153217.84	153227	155898/-1.75		

## Results: First analyse of Tm<sup>4+</sup>

Global view of configurations



## Results: First analysis of Tm<sup>4+</sup>



## Results: gA and g f calculations in $Tm^{4+}(Tm V)$ Examples of resonance lines of $Tm^{4+}$

(70 Å

											0/U A
$E_L$	Term.	J	$E_u$	Term.	J	$\log gf$	$gA(s^{-1})$	$\lambda(A)$	Int.		
0.000	$^{4}I$	7.5	138325.83	$^{6}H$	7.5	-1.910	1.573E+08	722.931	108		
			138833.94	<sup>6</sup> I	8.5	-2.851	1.815E+07	720.283	6		
			139521.49	$^{6}G$	6.5	-2.484	4.269E+07	716.731	24		
			145671.02	$^{4}K$	8.5	-1.125	1.061E+09	686.478	212		
			145657.94	$^{6}H$	7.5	-1.858	1.966E+08	686.539	83	3	
			149195.71	$^{6}L$	8.5	-1.199	9.409E+08	670.259	194		
			149687.89	$^{6}K$	6.5	-1.251	8.382E+08	668.055	200		
			150226.91	$^4I$	7.5	-0.488	4.895E+09	665.659	292		
			152487.62	$^4I$	7.5	-0.589	3.998E+09	655.790	258	and the second se	
			153156.68	$^{6}K$	8.5	-1.624	3.720E+08	652.924	78		
			153007.39	$^{4}H$	6.5	-0.707	3.069E+09	653.562	238		
			153974.90	$^{6}L$	7.5	-1.655	3.498E+08	649.454	75		
			154312.60	$^{6}H$	6.5	-2.078	1.325E+08	648.034	40		
7674.36	<sup>4</sup> I	6.5	145657.94	$^{6}H$	7.5	-2.417	4.866E+07	724.727	25		
			149687.89	$^{6}K$	6.5	-2.057	1.179E+08	704.158	67		
			149824.40	<sup>6</sup> I	5.5	-2.335	6.232E+07	703.481	13		
			150226.91	$^{4}I$	7.5	-2.914	1.653E+07	701.498	7		
			152487.62	$^{4}I$	7.5	-1.277	7.381E+08	690.544	167		
			153007.39	$^{4}H$	6.5	-1.595	3.585E+08	688.076	142		
			153938.07	$^{6}K$	5.5	-2.443	5.141E+07	683.696	7		
			153974.90	$^{6}L$	7.5	-1.548	4.038E+08	683.526	115		
			154312.60	$^{6}H$	6.5	-0.920	1.720E+09	681.949	238	•	
			154345.50	${}^4G$	5.5	-1.552	4.030E+08	681.797	143		
			155725.21	$^{6}K$	5.5	-1.739	2.662E+08	675.440	157		
			157952.57	$^{6}F$	5.5	-1.908	1.854E+08	665.432	52		
			158793.04	$^{4}I$	6.5	-0.898	1.924E+09	661.729	224		650 Å
			159285.39	$^{6}H$	6.5	-1.191	9.849E+08	659.582	160		030 A
			159515.04	$^{4}H$	5.5	-0.993	1.561E+09	658.584	178		

## Conclusion

- Lower levels of conf.(f<sup>11</sup>,4f<sup>10</sup>5d, 4f<sup>10</sup>6s and 4f<sup>10</sup>6p) in Tm<sup>4+</sup> are known, further efforts are needed to determine more excited levels.
- Explore other strategies in GRASP to further improve calculations of Tm<sup>3+</sup> and Tm<sup>4+</sup>.
- Predictions on Tm<sup>1+</sup> will be used to determine other experimental levels.

#### **Contributors to this work**

- W.-Ü Lydia Tchang-Brillet, Christian Balança,
- Technical support : Norbert Champion and Christophe Blaess
- Laboratoire d'Etude du Rayonnement et de la Matière en Astrophysique et Atmosphère (LERMA), Observatoire de Paris-Meudon - PSL Research University; Sorbonne Université, CNRS
- Mourad Sabri
- Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie Quantique (LCPQ), University Mouloud Mammeri in Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria
- Exaucé Bokamba Motoumba
- Université Marien Ngouabi, Brazzaville, Congo, visitor LERMA
- l and
- Jean-François Wyart
- Laboratoire Aimé Cotton, CNRS, Université de Paris-Saclay, Orsay
- Associated to the Paris Observatory











## **Thanks for your attention**